

The q -deformation of AKNS-D Hierarchy

Wang Shikun¹ Wu Ke² Wu Xiaoning¹ Yu Delong¹

¹ Institute of Applied Mathematics, P.O.Box 2734,
Academia Sinica, Beijing, China, 100080

² Institute of Theoretical Physics, P.O.Box 2735,
Academia Sinica, Beijing, China, 100080

Abstract

In this paper, we considered the q -deformation of AKNS-D hierarchy, proved the bilinear identity and give out the τ -function of the q -deformed AKNS-D hierarchy.

1 Introduction

Recently more and more attentions have been paid to the discrete integrable system. For example one can find nice review and lot of references about discrete integrable system in the book [1] edited by Bobenko and Seiler. One of which is the semi-discretization of integrable system. It is an integrable system with many variables and one discrete variable. There are lot of discussions about the q -deformation of KdV and KdV hierarchy, q -deformation of KP hierarchy, their solutions and τ -functions as well as other properties [2]-[12]. Where τ -functions of the q -KP hierarchy [9],[10] could be constructed from the τ -functions of classical KP hierarchy by making an appropriate shift. In this paper, we consider the AKNS-D hierarchy which is proposed by Dickey in Ref.[14] and its q -deformation.

This paper is organized as follow : In section II, we will give a brief review of the AKNS-D hierarchy to make this paper self-contained. In section III, we will give out the definition of the q -AKNS-D hierarchy, their Baker functions and the bilinear identity. The derivation of the τ -functions are placed in section IV.

2 Brief Review of the AKNS-D Hierarchy

In this section, we briefly review the general idea of AKNS-D hierarchy. The details can be found in Ref.[13],[14],[15].

2.1 Definition

Let

$$L = \partial + U - zA \quad (1)$$

where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, U is an n -dim matrix function and $u_{ii} = 0$. Resolvent of L is defined as a series :

$$R = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R^{(i)} z^{-i} \quad (2)$$

which is commutative with L , i.e. $[L, R] = 0$. The elements of R are all differential polynomials of u_{ij} . All of the Resolvents form an n -dim algebra over the field of constant diagonal series $C(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i z^{-i}$. The basis of Resolvents are R_{α}

$$R_{\alpha} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{\alpha}^{(j)} z^{-j} \quad (3)$$

where $R_{\alpha}^{(0)} = E_{\alpha}$ and E_{α} is the matrix with the only non-zero element at the $\alpha\alpha$ place. All elements of $R^{(j)}$, $j > 0$ are differential polynomials without constants. The basic resolvents satisfy the relation $R_{\alpha} R_{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_{\beta}$. Take

$$B_{k\alpha} = (z^k R_{\alpha})_+ = \sum_{j=0}^k R_{\alpha}^{(j)} z^{k-j} \quad (4)$$

The subscript $+$ means taking non-negative powers of z . The hierarchy is the set of equations

$$\partial_{k\alpha} L = [B_{k\alpha}, L] \quad (5)$$

where $\partial_{k\alpha}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k\alpha}}$, and $t_{k\alpha}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a set of time variables. In these equations, the variables ∂ and $\partial_{k\alpha}$ are not independent. They have the following relation :

$$\partial = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n a_{\alpha} \partial_{1\alpha} \quad (6)$$

2.2 Dressing method

Define

$$\hat{w}(z) = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j z^{-j} \quad (7)$$

The operator L can be represented as the following form

$$L = \hat{w}(z)(\partial - zA)\hat{w}(z)^{-1} \quad (8)$$

The basic resolvents is given by

$$R_\alpha = \hat{w}(z)E_\alpha\hat{w}(z)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

The formal Baker function is

$$w = \hat{w}(z)\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=0}^n z^k E_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right) \quad (10)$$

then we have

$$L = w\partial w^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$R_\alpha = wE_\alpha w^{-1} \quad (12)$$

The equation of the hierarchy are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} L(w) &= 0 \\ \partial_{k\alpha} w &= B_{k\alpha} w \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w} = -(z^k R_\alpha)_- \hat{w} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

To avoid some passible confusions, from now on we use $L(f)$ or (Lf) to note an operator L act on a function f and use Lf to note two operator's multiplication.

2.3 Bilinear Identity and τ -function

The adjoint Baker function is defined as $w^* = (w^{-1})^T$ which satisfies the adjoint equation

$$L^*(w^*) = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $L^* = -\partial + (U - zA)^T$.

Proposition 1 (*Bilinear Identity*)

A bilinear relation

$$\text{res}_z[z^l(\partial_{k_1\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_{k_s\alpha_s}w)(w^*)^T] = 0$$

holds, where $l = 0, 1, \dots$ and $(k_1\alpha_1), \dots, (k_s, \alpha_s)$ is any set of indeces. Conversely, let two functions

$$\begin{aligned} w &= (I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i z^{-i}) \exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right) \\ w^* &= (I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i^* z^{-i}) \exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_\alpha t_{k\alpha}\right) \end{aligned}$$

satisfy above bilinear identity, then w and w^* are the baker function and the adjoint baker function of an operator L which satisfies the hierarchy equation.

3 q-AKNS-D hierarchy

3.1 some useful results of q-calculation

In this section, we will give some basic definitions about q-difference calculation and some useful relations without proof. Details can be found in Ref.[10],[17].

First, we introduce two operators :

$$Df(x) := f(qx) \quad (15)$$

$$D_q f(x) := \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{x(q - 1)} \quad (16)$$

The first difference between q-difference and ordinary differential calculus is the Leibnitz's law. The q-Leibnitz's law is

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(fg) &= (Df) \cdot (D_q g) + (D_q f) \cdot g \\ &= f \cdot (D_q g) + (D_q f) \cdot (Dg) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using this Leibnitz's law, it is easy to show the following lemma

Lemma 1

$$D_q^m D_q^n f = D_q^{m+n} f \quad (18)$$

Another useful fact about q-difference is q-exponential function. It is defined as

$$\exp_q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^k}{(q; q)_k} x^k \quad (19)$$

where $(a; q)_k := \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^s)$ and $(a; q)_0 = 1$. The useful feature of this function is that the behavior of $\exp_q(x)$ acted by q-difference operator is just like the exponential function acted by the ordinary differential operator, i.e.

$$D_q \exp_q(zx) = z \exp_q(zx) \quad (20)$$

Other two useful relations about $\exp_q(x)$ are :

$$\exp_q(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)} x^k\right) \quad (21)$$

$$(\exp_q(x))^{-1} = \exp_{1/q}(-x) \quad (22)$$

Using above relation, direct calculation gives

Lemma 2

$$\exp_q(zAx)\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^nz^kE_{\alpha}t_{k\alpha}\right)=\exp\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\alpha=1}^nz^kE_{\alpha}t'_{k\alpha}\right)$$

$$\text{where } t'_{k\alpha}=t_{k\alpha}+\frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)}(a_{\alpha}x)^k$$

For later convenience, we define the q-commutator $[,]_q$ as

$$[A, B]_q = (DA) \cdot B - B \cdot A \quad (23)$$

This bracket can be seen as the q-deform of the ordinary commutator. The operator D is coming from the q-Leibnitz's law.

Introduce a L^2 -metric on the function space as

$$\langle A, B \rangle := \text{tr} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A \cdot B dx \quad (24)$$

Using this inner product, we can define the dual operator as usual

$$\langle f, (g)P^* \rangle := \langle P(f), g \rangle \quad (25)$$

It is easy to show that $(D_q)^* = (-\frac{1}{q})D_{1/q}$ and we can prove that

Lemma 3 *Let*

$$P = \sum_i p_i D_q^i \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \sum_j g_j D_q^j$$

be two q-pseudo-difference operator. Define

$$Q|_{x/q} = \sum_j g_j \left(\frac{x}{q}\right) q^j D_q^j$$

then we have

$$\text{res}_z(P\exp_q(zAx) \cdot \exp_{1/q}(-zAx)Q^*|_{x/q}) = \text{res}_{D_q}(PA^{-1}Q)$$

Proof : Let $Q^* = \sum_i D_{1/q}^j g_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\exp_q(zAx)\exp_{1/q}(-zAx)Q^*|_{x/q} \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l (p_k(x)D_q^k \exp_q(zAx))(\exp_{1/q}(-zAx)D_{1/q}^l q^l g_l(\frac{x}{q})) \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l p_k(x)(Az)^k \exp_q(zAx)\exp_{1/q}(-zAx)(-Az)^l q^l g_l(\frac{x}{q}) \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l (-q)^l p_k(x)A^{k+l} g_l(\frac{x}{q}) z^{k+l} \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{res}_z(P \exp_q(zAx) \exp_{1/q}(-zAx) Q^*|_{x/q}) \\ &= \sum_{k+l=-1} q^l p_k(x) A^{-1} g_l\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{res}_{D_q}(PA^{-1}Q) \\ &= \sum_{k+l=-1} (-q)^l p_k(x) A^{-1} g_l\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) \end{aligned}$$

That finishes the proof. $\square$

3.2 q-AKNS-D hierarchy

Let $L_q = D_q - zA + U$, where $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, U is an n -dim matrix function with $u_{ii} = 0$, for any i . Like the AKNS-D hierarchy, we define the resolvent R for L_q as

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i z^{-i} \\ [R, L_q]_q &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

i.e.

$$D_q R - [R, (U - zA)]_q = 0 \tag{27}$$

Take the formal expression of R into equation (27), we can get

$$D_q R^{(j)} - [R^{(j)}, U]_q + [R^{(j+1)}, A]_q = 0 \tag{28}$$

$$[R^{(0)}, A]_q = 0 \tag{29}$$

Theorem 1 *All of the resolvents R form an algebra over the field of the formal series $c(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i z^{-i}$, we note it as $\mathfrak{R}$.*

Proof : First, it is easy to see that if R^1, R^2 satisfy the equation (27), then we have $[c_1(z)R^1 + c_2(z)R^2, L_q]_q = 0$

Second, if R^1, R^2 are two resolvents, then

$$[R^1 R^2, L_q]_q = (D R^1)[R^2, L_q]_q + [R^1, L_q]_q R^2 = 0$$

So all of the resolvents form an algebra. $\square$

We can define

$$\hat{w}_q := I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k z^{-k} \quad (30)$$

Which satisfies

$$L_q = (D\hat{w}_q) \cdot (D_q - zA) \cdot \hat{w}_q^{-1} \quad (31)$$

The existence of $\hat{w}_q$ is obvious, because we can rewrite above equation as

$$L_q \hat{w}_q = (D\hat{w}_q) \cdot (D_q - zA) \quad (32)$$

Using the form extension (30), we can solve these $\hat{w}_j$ at least formally order by order just like what we do in the classical case.

Theorem 2 $R_\alpha = \hat{w}_q E_\alpha \hat{w}_q^{-1}$ is a resolvent and has the following property

$$R_\alpha \cdot R_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_\beta$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} & [R_\alpha, L_q]_q \\ &= (D\hat{w}_q) E_\alpha (D\hat{w}_q^{-1}) \cdot L_q - L_q \cdot \hat{w}_q E_\alpha \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\ &= (D\hat{w}_q) E_\alpha (D\hat{w}_q^{-1}) \cdot (D\hat{w}_q) (D_q - zA) \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\ &\quad - (D\hat{w}_q) (D_q - zA) \hat{w}_q^{-1} \cdot \hat{w}_q E_\alpha \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha R_\beta &= \hat{w}_q E_\alpha \hat{w}_q^{-1} \cdot \hat{w}_q E_\beta \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\ &= \hat{w}_q E_\alpha E_\beta \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} R_\beta \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define $B_{k\alpha} = (z^k R_\alpha)_+$, $\bar{B}_{k\alpha} = (z^k R_\alpha)_-$ then we define the q-AKNS-D hierarchy in the Lax pair form

$$\begin{aligned} L_q \hat{w}_q &= (D\hat{w}_q) (D_q - zA) \\ \partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Theorem 3 Above definition can give out following equation

$$\partial_{k\alpha} L_q = [B_{k\alpha}, L_q]_q$$

Proof : Because $L_q = (D\hat{w}_q)(D_q - zA)(\hat{w}_q^{-1})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{k\alpha} L_q &= (\partial_{k\alpha} D\hat{w}_q)(D_q - zA)\hat{w}_q^{-1} \\
&+ (D\hat{w}_q)(D_q - zA)(\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q^{-1}) \\
&= -(D\bar{B}_{k\alpha}) \cdot (D\hat{w}_q)(D_q - zA)(\hat{w}_q^{-1}) \\
&+ (D\hat{w}_q)(D_q - zA)\hat{w}_q^{-1} \bar{B}_{k\alpha} \\
&= [-\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, L_q]_q \\
&= [B_{k\alpha} - z^k R_\alpha, L_q]_q \\
&= [B_{k\alpha}, L_q]_q \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4

$$\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta = [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta &= \partial_{k\alpha} (\hat{w}_q E_\beta) \hat{w}_q^{-1} \\
&= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q E_\beta \hat{w}_q^{-1} + \hat{w}_q E_\beta \hat{w}_q^{-1} \bar{B}_{k\alpha} \\
&= -[\bar{B}_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] \\
&= [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Using this relation, we can easily prove that $\partial_{k\alpha} B_{l\beta} - \partial_{l\beta} B_{k\alpha} = [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]$.

Lemma 4 *Every R can be fixed by its zero order term and R_α form a basis of $\mathfrak{R}$.*

Proof : Using Eq.(29), we can get $R^{(0)}$ must be a diagonal matrix. (Here we require all functions that we deal with are bounded and continuous everywhere as the function of x) Because of Eq.(28), we can solve every $R^{(j)}$ order by order, the only freedom left is the constant diagonal part of $R^{(j)}$ which can be choose as zero. So the linear independent solutions are those whose zero order term is E_α , that is why R_α form a basis of $\mathfrak{R}$. $\square$

Lemma 5 *We can equivalently define the q -AKNS-D hierarchy as*

$$\partial_{k\alpha} L_q = [B_{k\alpha}, L_q]_q$$

Proof :The Theorem 3 show that the Lax pair definition can lead to above equation, what we need to do is to prove the converse direction. For $\forall \alpha, \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta], L_q]_q \\
&= [\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta, L_q]_q - [[B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta], L_q]_q
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta, L_q]_q \\
&= \partial_{k\alpha} [R_\beta, L_q]_q - [R_\beta, \partial_{k\alpha} L_q]_q \\
&= -[R_\beta, \partial_{k\alpha} L_q]_q \\
&= -[R_\beta, [B_{k\alpha}, L_q]_q]_q \\
&= [[R_\beta, B_{k\alpha}], L_q]_q
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$[\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta], L_q]_q = 0$$

that means $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]$ is a resolvent. We have known that R_α form a basis of $\mathfrak{R}$, then we can express $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]$ as

$$\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}(z) R_{\alpha}$$

Take $\gamma \neq \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) R_\gamma \\
&= -R_\beta \partial_{k\alpha} R_\gamma + R_\beta B_{k\alpha} R_\gamma \\
&= -R_\beta \partial_{k\alpha} R_\gamma + R_\beta B_{k\alpha} R_\gamma - R_\beta R_\gamma B_{k\alpha} \\
&= -R_\beta (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\gamma - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\gamma]) \\
&= -\tilde{c}_\beta R_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$(\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) R_\gamma = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} R_{\alpha} R_{\gamma} = c_{\gamma} R_{\gamma}$$

Because R_γ, R_β are linear independent, we get $c_\beta = \tilde{c}_\gamma = 0$, so $\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] = c(z) R_\beta$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) R_\beta \\
&= \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta^2 - R_\beta \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - B_{k\alpha} R_\beta + R_\beta B_{k\alpha} R_\beta \\
&= \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - B_{k\alpha} R_\beta + R_\beta B_{k\alpha} - R_\beta \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - R_\beta^2 B_{k\alpha} + R_\beta B_{k\alpha} R_\beta \\
&= \partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] - R_\beta (\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta]) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\partial_{k\alpha} R_\beta - [B_{k\alpha}, R_\beta] = 0$$

Using this result, it is easy to show

$$\partial_{k\alpha} B_{l\beta} - \partial_{l\beta} B_{k\alpha} = [B_{k\alpha}, B_{l\beta}]$$

and then we can just follow the standard way to extend the operator $\partial_{k\alpha}$ on w_q by requiring $\partial_{k\alpha} w_q = B_{k\alpha} w_q$ and above result guarantees $[\partial_{k\alpha}, \partial_{l\beta}] = 0$ hold, so we prove the converse direction. $\square$

3.3 Baker function and bilinear identity of the q-AKNS-D hierarchy

Define the Baker function as

$$w_q = \hat{w}_q \cdot \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right) \quad (34)$$

Theorem 5

$$\begin{aligned} L_q(w_q) &= 0 \\ \partial_{k\alpha} w_q &= B_{k\alpha} w_q \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Proof : Using the Eq.(3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_q(w_q) &= L_q(\hat{w}_q \cdot \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right)) \\ &= D\hat{w}_q(D_q - zA) \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right) \\ &= 0 \\ \partial_{k\alpha} w_q &= \partial_{k\alpha}(\hat{w}_q \cdot \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right)) \\ &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q \cdot \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right) \\ &\quad + \hat{w}_q z^k E_{\alpha} \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right) \\ &= -\bar{B}_{k\alpha} \cdot w_q + z^k R_{\alpha} \cdot w_q \\ &= B_{k\alpha} \cdot w_q \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6 (*Bilinear Identity*)

i) If w_q is a solution of Eq.(35), it satisfies the following identity

$$res_z(z^l (D_q^m \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_q) \cdot w_q^{-1}) = 0 \quad (36)$$

for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 0, 1$, $\forall [\lambda]$ (where

$\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} = \partial_{k_1\alpha_1} \partial_{k_2\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{k_s\alpha_s}$, and $(k_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (k_s, \alpha_s)$ is any set of indices).

ii) If

$$\begin{aligned} w_q &= (I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i z^{-i}) \exp_q(zAx) \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}) \\ w_q^* &= (I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i^* z^{-i}) \exp_{1/q}(-zAx) \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and they satisfy the following identity

$$\text{res}_z(z^l D_q^m \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_q \cdot (w_q^*)^t) = 0 \quad (37)$$

for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 0, 1$, $\forall [\lambda]$, then we have

$$1) (w_q^{-1})^t = w_q^*.$$

$$2) w_q \text{ is a solution of Eq.(35)}$$

Proof :

i) If w_q is a solution of the q-AKNS hierarchy, we have

$$\partial_{k\alpha} w_q = B_{k\alpha} w_q$$

So $\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_q = f(B_{k\alpha}) \cdot w_q$, where $f(B_{k\alpha})$ is a differential polynomial of $B_{k\alpha}$ and it is easy to see that $(f(B_{k\alpha}))_+ = f(B_{k\alpha})$. Furthermore, we also know $D_q w_q = (zA - U) \cdot w_q$, then for $\forall l \geq 0$ and $\forall [\lambda]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{res}_z(z^l D_q \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_q \cdot (w_q)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{res}_z(z^l (D_q f(B_{k\alpha})) w_q \cdot w_q^{-1}) + \text{res}_z(z^l (Df(B_{k\alpha})) \cdot (zA - U) w_q \cdot w_q^{-1}) \\ &= \text{res}_z(z^l (D_q f(B_{k\alpha})) + z^l (Df(B_{k\alpha}))(zA - U)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

ii) First, choosing $m = [\lambda] = 0$, Eq.(37) gives out

$$\text{res}_z(z^l w_q \cdot (w_q^*)^t) = 0$$

for $\forall l \geq 0$. This means that $w_q \cdot (w_q^*)^t$ doesn't contain negative power term. From the formal expression of w_q and w_q^* , we know it also doesn't contain positive power term and the zero order term is I , so we get $(w_q^{-1})^t = w_q^*$.

Second,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{k\alpha} w_q - B_{k\alpha} w_q \\ &= (\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q) \exp_q(zAx) \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}) \\ &\quad + \hat{w}_q \exp_q(zAx) \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}) z^k E_{\alpha} - (z^k R_{\alpha})_+ w_q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q) \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right) + z^k w_q E_{\alpha} w_q^{-1} \cdot w_q - (z^k R_{\alpha})_+ w_q \\
&= (\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q + (z^k R_{\alpha})_- \hat{w}_q) \exp_q(zAx) \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n z^k E_{\alpha} t_{k\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(37), we have

$$res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha} - B_{k\alpha})w_q \cdot (w_q^*)^t) = 0$$

But

$$(\partial_{k\alpha} - B_{k\alpha})w_q \cdot w_q^{-1} = (\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q + (z^k R_{\alpha})_- \hat{w}_q) \cdot \hat{w}_q^{-1}$$

So we can see it contains no positive power term and the bilinear identity is

$$res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q + (z^k R_{\alpha})_- \hat{w}_q) \cdot \hat{w}_q^{-1}) = 0$$

for $\forall l \geq 0$, which gives out

$$\partial_{k\alpha} \hat{w}_q + (z^k R_{\alpha})_- \hat{w}_q = 0$$

and we have known it is equivalent to equation

$$\partial_{k\alpha} w_q = B_{k\alpha} w_q$$

Define : $L_q = (Dw_q)D_q w_q^{-1}$. Simple calculation gives

$$L_q = D_q - (D_q w_q) \cdot w_q^{-1}$$

Using the formal expression of w_q , direct calculation gives the highest order of $D_q w_q \cdot w_q^{-1}$ is zA and the bilinear identity gives that $D_q w_q \cdot w_q^{-1}$ doesn't contain negative power term, we note the zero order term of $D_q w_q \cdot w_q^{-1}$ as $-U$, then we get

$$L_q = D_q + zA - U$$

So such w_q satisfies Eq.(35). $\square$

4 The τ -function of q-AKNS-D hierarchy

In Ref.[10], P.Iliev gives a way to construct the τ -function of the q-KP hierarchy. The main idea is to "q-shift" the time variables $t_{k\alpha}$ of the classical KP hierarchy's τ -function and prove that the Baker function construct from this kind of τ -function satisfies the bilinear identity of the q-KP hierarchy. In this section, we will this way and generalize P.Iliev's method to the q-AKNS-D hierarchy.

Define : the q-shift of $t_{k\alpha}$ is defined as

$$t_{k\alpha} \longmapsto t_{k\alpha} + \frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)} (a_{\alpha} x)^k$$

and for convenience, we also note as $t + [Ax]_q$.

Theorem 7 (*The τ -function of q -AKNS-D hierarchy*)

If $\tau(t)$ is a τ -function of the classical AKNS-D hierarchy, then

$$\tau_q(t; x) := \tau(t + [Ax]_q)$$

is a τ -function of q -AKNS-D hierarchy.

Proof : In Ref.[14], Dickey gives out some τ -functions of AKNS-D hierarchy. To construct the Baker function, they have the following form :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{w}_{W\alpha\beta}(t, z) &= z^{-1} \frac{\tau_{W\alpha\beta}(\cdots, t_{k\beta} - \frac{1}{k}z^{-k}, \cdots)}{\tau_W(t)} \\ \hat{w}_{W\alpha\alpha}(t, z) &= \frac{\tau_W(\cdots, t_{k\alpha} - \frac{1}{k}z^{-k}, \cdots)}{\tau_W(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which is simuler to the KP hierarchy. (more detials can be found in Ref.[14],[15],[16].)

Because we have defined the q - τ -function as

$$\tau_q(t; x) := \tau(t + [Ax]_q)$$

Using Eq.(38), (34) and Lemma 2, it is easy to see that the q -Baker function w_q is just the q -shift of the classical Baker function, i.e.

$$w_q(t; x) = w(t + [Ax]_q)$$

the classical Baker function w satisfies the classical bilinear identity

$$res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w) \cdot w^{-1}) = 0 \quad for \ \forall l \geq 0, \ \forall [\lambda] \quad (39)$$

we want to show that the function w_q satisfies the q -bilinear identity

$$res_z(z^l(D_q \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w_q) \cdot w_q^{-1}) = 0$$

Take the expression of w_q into the q -bilinear identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &res_z(z^l(D_q \partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q)) \\ &= [res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Aqx]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q)) \\ &\quad - res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q))] \cdot \frac{1}{x(q-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Choosing $t' = t + [Ax]_q$, the classical bilinear identity Eq.(39) gives the second term of right side of above equation is zero.

The first term of above equation is

$$\frac{1}{x(q-1)} [res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Aqx]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q))]$$

Note $\frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)}(a_\alpha qx)^k$ as $x_{k\alpha}^q$ and $\frac{(1-q)^k}{k(1-q^k)}(a_\alpha x)^k$ as $x_{k\alpha}$, take the Taylor extension of $w(t + [Ax]_q)$ at $t + [Ax]_q$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x(q-1)} [res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Aqx]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q))] \\ &= \frac{1}{x(q-1)} [res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} w(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q))] \\ &+ \sum_{l,\beta,[\eta]} res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} \partial_{l\beta}^{[\eta]} w(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot (x_{l\beta}^q - x_{l\beta})^{[\eta]} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

every term in above equation has the following form :

$$\frac{(x_{l\beta}^q - x_{l\beta})^{[\eta]}}{x(q-1)} res_z(z^l(\partial_{k\alpha}^{[\lambda]} \partial_{l\beta}^{[\eta]} w(t + [Ax]_q)) \cdot w^{-1}(t + [Ax]_q))$$

where the $\partial_{l\beta}^{[\eta]}$ and the $(x_{l\beta}^q - x_{l\beta})^{[\eta]}$ are come from the Taylor extension. The classical bilinear identity of AKNS hierarchy make sure that this kind of term is zero, for any $[\lambda]$, $[\eta]$ and $l \geq 0$, so we get the first term of Eq.(40) is also zero. That means the Baker function w_q satisfies the q-bilinear identity. $\square$

Furthermore, comparing both sides of the Taylor extension of Eq.(40), we can see that the D_q and the $\partial_{k\alpha}$ are not independent, we have the following relation :

$$\begin{aligned} D_q &= \frac{1}{x(q-1)} \sum_{l,\beta,\eta} c([\eta])(x_{l\beta}^q - x_{l\beta})^{[\eta]} \partial_{l\beta}^{[\eta]} \\ &= \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta} \partial_{1\beta} + O(q-1) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $c([\eta])$ is the constant coming from the Taylor extension. When $q \rightarrow 1$, we can get the relation :

$$\partial = \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta} \partial_{1\beta}$$

which is holed for the classical AKNS hierarchy.

Acknowledgements

References

- [1] *Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics*, eds. A.I.Bobenko and R.Seiler, Oxford University Press, 1999
- [2] D.H.Zhang, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **26** (1993) 2389

- [3] Z.Y.Wu, D.H.Zhang and Q.R.Zheng, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **27** (1994) 5307
- [4] J.Mac and M.Seco, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 6510
- [5] E.Frenkel, Int. Math. Res. Notice **2** (1996) 55
- [6] E.Frenkel and N.Reshetkhin, Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996) 237
- [7] B.Khesin, V.Lyubashenko and C.Roger, J. Func. Anal. **143** (1997) 55
- [8] M.Adler, E.Horozov and P.van Moerbeke, Phys. Lett. **A 242** (1998) 139
- [9] L.Haine and P.Iliev , J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. **30** (1997) 7217
- [10] P.Iliev , Lett. Math. Phys. **44** No.3 (1998) 187
- [11] M.H.Tu, J.C.Shaw and C.R.Lee, Lett. Math. Phys. **49** (1999) 33
- [12] M.H.Tu, Lett. Math. Phys. **49** (1999) 95
- [13] L.A.Dickey, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian System*, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol.**12**, World scientific Press, 1991
- [14] L.A.Dickey, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 2996
- [15] L.A.Dickey, On Segal-Wilson's Definition of the τ -function and Hierarchy AKNS-D and mcKP in *Integrable Systems : The Verdier Memorial Conference* Ed. by Olivier Babelon, Pierre Cartier and Yvetten Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Birkhauser Boston, 1993
- [16] G.Segal and G.Wilson, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **63** (1985) 1
- [17] G.Gasper and M.Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. **35**, Cambridge University Press, 1990